

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY FLETCHER,
Plaintiff,

v.

THE WARDEN AT SALINAS VALLEY
STATE PRISON,
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-04109-YGR](#) (PR)

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

On September 6, 2016, the Court issued an Order of Dismissal with Leave to Amend. Specifically, the Court granted Plaintiff twenty-eight days from the date of the Order to file an amended complaint to allege facts sufficient to state a cognizable constitutional claim and to provide sufficient information regarding the exhaustion of administrative remedies. Plaintiff was warned that the failure to timely file an amended complaint would result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute. The time for Plaintiff to file his amended complaint has passed, and no amended complaint has been filed. Taking into account the salient factors set forth in *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992), the Court finds that dismissal is warranted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).¹ See *Yourish v. Cal. Amplifier*, 191 F.3d 983, 989, 992 (9th Cir. 1999) (affirming dismissal of action following plaintiff's failure to amend complaint after receiving leave to do so, where the interest in expeditious resolution of litigation,

¹ If and when Plaintiff is prepared to pursue his claims, he may file a new civil rights action. The limitations period to file a section 1983 action in California is two years, but it is tolled for up to two years during a continuous period of incarceration. See *Silva v. Crain*, 169 F.3d 608, 610 (9th Cir. 1999) (holding, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 340(3), that the limitations period for filing a section 1983 action in California is one year); S.B. 688 (amending Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 340(3) and adding section 335.1 to establish two-year residual limitations period for personal injury actions); Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 352.1(a) (providing for an additional two years of tolling during a period of continual imprisonment).

1 the court's management of its docket, and avoiding prejudice to defendants favored dismissal).

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint in the above-captioned action
3 is DISMISSED without prejudice. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment, terminate all
4 pending motions and close the file.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: October 31, 2016

7 

8 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

9 United States District Court Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28